



Questions and Responses

C003686

2024 Ganondagan Prescribed Burn

No.	IFB Section	IFB Page	Question	Response
1			How much of the list from high priority to low priority are you looking to accomplish?	Ideally, all locations will be successfully burned this year through this procurement. However, we are looking to accomplish the very high and high priority areas definitively. The moderate and low priority areas may require a discussion to determine if they can be burned based on timing, logistics, favorable weather conditions and available budget.
2			In past years there has been a price cap on the project, do these terms still stand?	The IFB contains all relevant informaton.
3	2.2.4	11	We see that the acreage breakout does not match the maps on the burn plan. In the plan the Ft. Hill unit is broken into three subunits. Ft. Hill Grass 7 acres, Ft Hill Savanna 12 acres and Ft Hill Woodlands 24 acres. The IFB states 11.7 acres of Ft Hill unit are to be burned. Exactly which areas of Ft Hill are planned to be burned?	The acreage in the IFB listed in Section 2.2.4 Location Specific Services and on Exhibit B 2024 Fall Burn - Prioritized Target Units is correct. Subunit control line diversions from the Exhibit A Prescribed Burn Plan have been approved through DEC for 2024. The desired Fort Hill polygon that we plan to burn incorporates multiple subunits; however, some subunits contain areas that we do not wish to burn. Therefore, we have reduced the acreage by splitting up these subunits and adding control lines to avoid burning undesired areas. Exhibit B indicates the approximate area for Ft. Hill that OPRHP intends for the awarded Contractor to burn, totaling 11.7 acres.
4	2.2.4	11	The acreage totals for EIOM on the burn plan map is 29 acres. IFB lists 32 acres. Where are the additional 3 acres?	The acreage in the IFB listed in Section 2.2.4 Location Specific Services and on Exhibit B 2024 Fall Burn - Prioritized Target Units is correct. Subunit control line diversions from the Exhibit A Prescribed Burn Plan have been approved through DEC for 2024. The EIOM polygon is made up of the subunits D7, D6, D5, D4, ES3, EF1, EF2, OS4, ES2, ES1, and OS6. The total acreage of all these subunits combined is 48 acres. There are areas within these subunits we do not wish to burn. For instance, subunit OS6 is 8 acres, but we only intend for the awarded Contractor to attempt to burn approximately 5-6 acres of that subunit.

5	2.2.4	11	Acreage totals for Hickory and Bluestem units total 77 acres according to the burn plan map. The IFB lists 65 acres. Where are the excluded acres?	The acreage in the IFB listed in Section 2.2.4 Location Specific Services and on Exhibit B 2024 Fall Burn - Prioritized Target Units is correct. Subunit control line diversions from the Exhibit A Prescribed Burn Plan have been approved through DEC for 2024. We have reduced the Bluestem unit from 39 acres to 29 acres due to parts of the unit having difficult-to-traverse terrain and undesired plant species. Exhibit B in the IFB indicates the approximate acreage that OPRHP intends for the awarded Contractor to attempt to burn.
6	2.2.4 & Financial Proposal	11	We have successfully performed two prescribed burn projects at Ganondagan and have a high level of familiarity with the property. In our opinion the EIOM unit will be difficult to successfully burn. When we complete the Financial Proposal would it be acceptable not to put any money on that unit? We could attempt to burn it while we are on site depending on time allowed. Currently we are looking at this being a three day project based on burning the very high, high and low priority units.	In order for a bid to be considered responsive, all fields of the Financial Proposal must be completed. In accordance with Section 2.2.4 of the IFB, final determination of the locations to be burned will be at the sole discretion of OPRHP. All services must be performed in accordance with the IFB.
7	1.3	7	This section asks for "written documentation of staff specific certifications". Would a list of STWP personnel and qualifications be sufficient?	Yes